

Testimony of
Meredith Attwell Baker
President and CEO
CTIA – The Wireless Association®

on

“Wireless Broadband and the Future of Spectrum Policy”

before the

Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation

July 29, 2015



Chairman Thune, Ranking Member Nelson, and members of the Committee, thank you for inviting me to share CTIA's perspective on the future of spectrum policy. We appreciate your leadership on developing a forward-looking approach to one of the key inputs into America's long-term global competitiveness.

Today's topic is of critical importance to the health of America's wireless industry, and our entire economy. It is something we all have a vested interest in getting right. So as the Committee considers what's next in spectrum policy, I hope CTIA can help inform your work.

The United States is the Global Leader in 4G Mobility

I am proud to report that the United States is the global leader in 4G wireless. This has not always been the case. We were markedly behind Europe and others in the deployment of 3G technologies only a decade ago. But with a combination of sound spectrum policy, a light-touch approach to regulation, and pro-investment tax policy, America now leads.

Today, despite having only 5 percent of all wireless connections in the world, the United States has 33 percent of 4G LTE connections. 98 percent of Americans have access to 4G LTE networks, and, even more impressively, over 93 percent of Americans can choose from three or more mobile broadband options.

Vibrant market competition has driven the widespread adoption of 4G solutions. You likely choose between 4 national carriers – as well as regional operators and resellers – offering you unparalleled choice in wireless solutions. This has led directly to differentiation and new service offerings. It has also supported over \$150 billion in private capital in the past five years alone to bring 4G capabilities to American consumers. That investment was greater than that of the truck, rail, and air transportation industries, and it means that every year, wireless networks get faster and better, devices have more capabilities and features, and the Internet of Things around us gets more and more advanced. Speeds are increasing, prices are decreasing, and usage is skyrocketing.

That 4G foundation helps support innovation and investment up and down the mobile ecosystem. Indeed, our global lead is pervasive. Over 9 out of 10 smartphones across the world run an operating system developed by a U.S. company. The same U.S. predominance is true for mobile apps, as 91 percent of mobile app downloads come from U.S. companies. We are also leaders in leveraging unlicensed spectrum to benefit U.S. consumers.

Spectrum Is a Powerful Economic and Social Catalyst

Our global 4G lead has a direct and substantial impact on consumers and our economy. We recently released a Brattle Group study that provides a powerful reminder of what's at stake in spectrum policy, finding that licensed spectrum in the hands of wireless carriers generates over \$400 billion in economic activity every year.¹ That's a powerful figure. But it doesn't tell the whole story, which is that for every dollar spent on licensed wireless services, \$2.32 is spent throughout the broader economy. When combined with the additional \$62 billion that unlicensed spectrum contributes,² it's clear that wireless services and technologies are an economic powerhouse.

The Brattle Group also found that licensed wireless is a tremendous job creator. In 2013, wireless supported over 1.3 million jobs in this country. With every hundred people employed in the wireless industry, another 650 people find jobs. And wireless jobs are good paying jobs, paying 45 percent higher than the national average.

Just as importantly, licensed spectrum enables network operators to boost speeds and capacity, device manufacturers to develop new products, and app and content creators to craft new offerings. It fuels new investment, innovation and American leadership, reinforcing why spectrum policy is central to our future economic policy.

¹ Coleman Bazelon and Guila McHenry, "Mobile Broadband Spectrum: A Vital Resource for the U.S. Economy" (May 2015). See http://www.brattle.com/system/publications/pdfs/000/005/168/original/Mobile_Broadband_Spectrum_-_A_Valuable_Resource_for_the_American_Economy_Bazelon_McHenry_051115.pdf?1431372403.

² "Unlicensed Spectrum and the American Economy: Quantifying the Market Size and Diversity of Unlicensed Devices," (June 2014). See <http://www.ce.org/CorporateSite/media/gla/CEAUnlicensedSpectrumWhitePaper-FINAL-052814.pdf>.

The wireless industry's full contribution to the economy far eclipses the \$400 billion figure. Because wireless is more than just a service. Wireless is the platform, the basic building block, for innovation in the 21st century - in the commercial space as well as the government space.

Mobile is no longer just voice and text, but also video, health, retail, education, energy and connected everything – from cars and appliances to healthcare devices and drones. The Internet of Things is driven by mobility and spectrum. Right now, the connected car market is growing ten times faster than the traditional automobile market. By 2020, an incredible 97 percent of all vehicles shipped in the United States will be able to connect to the Internet. In four years, 1.8 billion connected home devices, smart appliances, home security systems, and energy equipment, will ship, 12 times what shipped just last year. In the same time period, the market for mHealth will nearly top \$50 billion, up from \$2 billion in 2012. Our connected future and the economic and social benefits that flow from it ride on wireless networks. These networks depend on investment and innovation.

Mobility is also the communications platform of millennials – for 87% of millennials, their phone never leaves their side. Over 45% of American households do not have a wireline telephone today, and the reliance on mobility is highest among the young, low-income, and minority communities across the nation. Mobile is the next generation's tool for empowerment and entrepreneurship, and the mobile device is increasingly the gateway to employment, health, and education opportunities.

A Bridge to 5G: Meeting Skyrocketing Consumer Demand for Mobile Broadband

Not surprisingly, mobile broadband continues to grow at record levels as consumers embrace mobility more and more each year. Mobile data traffic grew over 35-fold from 2009 to 2014, and today, more than half of Internet traffic is mobile. The average user consumed 450 megabits a month in 2012. Today, 1.8 gigabits a month. That's just 3G/4G and other licensed data, not unlicensed. Now envision a future where 3G/4G data averages 6, 8, or 10 gigabits a month.

That future is closer than many think and just wait until the remaining third of Americans start using smartphones, and 4G networks and uses become more sophisticated. These growth

projections can be a little daunting, just like they were in 2010 when we last had a conversation about the spectrum deficit. In 2014, more than 500 petabytes (that's 500 followed by 15 zeros, the equivalent of 500 million gigabytes) of traffic flowed across wireless networks each month. In 2019, mobile data traffic is projected to be six times that amount. But we won't have six times the spectrum, no matter what we do.

To meet the rapidly evolving needs of U.S. consumers, there is significant amount of work and investment to be done by the mobile industry. Work we stand ready to do.

First, the wireless industry will continue to invest tens of billions of dollars in our nation's infrastructure, which means more jobs and opportunity across the country as the wireless industry deploys thousands of new cell sites and small cells to densify and extend our networks that already support approximately 300,000 sites.

We also will roll out new 4G LTE functionalities. We tend to speak about wireless generations as singular events like the jump from 3G to 4G or 4G to 5G. The reality is that today's LTE networks are far better than the ones initially deployed just five years ago. And we are committed to continuing to improve those networks year in and year out. We will aggregate spectrum bands into wider channels, introduce the ability to broadcast video content, better leverage unlicensed spectrum, and roll out device-to-device solutions. We will see HD Voice, VoLTE, LTE Broadcast, and remarkable new apps and offerings that leverage these new opportunities.

We will use existing spectrum resources more efficiently. Beyond rolling out these substantially more efficient 4G technologies, carriers are re-farming existing mobile bands from voice to data. Verizon is refarming its 1900 MHz PCS spectrum and AT&T is actively refarming Leap's spectrum. T-Mobile has already refarmed MetroPCS' CDMA spectrum for 4G and shut down that legacy network this summer.

And lastly, we need to start a conversation about what the United States needs to support next-generation or 5G networks. We need to work together to identify the use cases, spectrum needs, and economic opportunity presented by 5G, as well as the investment and research needed for us

to retain a global lead. In the interim, the most important steps we can take are to solidify our first mover advantage in 4G. Our ability to leverage 5G will be enhanced by making our 4G foundation as strong and dynamic as possible.

A Renewed Focus on Spectrum

While industry can – and will – take steps on its own to address the challenge of a six-fold increase in wireless data, infrastructure investment and engineering enhancements alone cannot meet the future wireless demand. These industry-driven efforts will address approximately 40 percent of the expected growth volumes, but they do not obviate the need for more spectrum.

As smartphone penetration continues and the Internet of Things and our connected life take off, wireless will need hundreds of megahertz of additional licensed spectrum. All of our connected life aspirations will ultimately succeed or fail based on our underlying mobile infrastructure.

In 2010, with no spectrum in the pipeline, the federal government called for 300 MHz of new licensed spectrum by 2015, and 500 MHz of total spectrum by 2020. Those targets were established based on projections of mobile data growth that were dismissed at the time as unrealistic. In fact, the FCC and the National Broadband Plan estimates were remarkably accurate. In 2010, the FCC's growth estimates forecast mobile data traffic of 562 petabytes per month in 2014. The actual amount last year? 563 petabytes per month. The FCC was off by one-fifth of one percent.³

The Administration has committed significant resources to identifying additional spectrum for mobile broadband, and successfully re-allocated 135 MHz towards the 300 MHz goal. The successful AWS-3 auction earlier this year was the largest step to date, and this remaining deficit underscores the critical importance of the upcoming broadcast incentive auction.

³ Thomas Swanabori and Robert Roche, "Mobile Data Demand: Growth Forecasts Met - Significant Growth Projections Continue to Drive the Need for More Spectrum (June 2015). See <http://www.ctia.org/docs/default-source/default-document-library/062115mobile-data-demands-white-paper.pdf>.

The practical reality is that five years ago, the Administration formed a ten-year spectrum plan. While we're making progress toward the Administration's target, we have much more to do to prepare for the exponential growth that's coming. As a country, we have no plan beyond 2020 to accommodate mobile growth, and the closer we get, the more daunting the timeline looks. Existing systems need to be relocated or retuned, and that alone takes years and billions of dollars. History is our guide: the average time to reallocate spectrum is 13 years.⁴ You could raise a teenager in the time it took to bring the 700 MHz band from identification to use. The AWS-3 process seemed to go quickly, but only if you forget that we were talking about access to that band for more than a decade before the 2012 Spectrum Act jumpstarted the process by scheduling the 2155-2180 MHz band for auction. Because spectrum policy is a long game, we need to start planning today to meet future consumer needs.

The backbone of our national spectrum policy should remain licensed and exclusive use spectrum. It is our collective commitment to licensed spectrum that has made the United States the global leader in 4G. Auctions in 2006 and 2008 paved the way to our current winning position. And it is that commitment to licensed spectrum that has given carriers the confidence and certainty necessary to invest billions in spectrum and infrastructure.

We also feel strongly that the military and government agencies need adequate spectrum to support mission critical services, and mobility is just as central to federal users' future as it is to commercial subscribers. We believe working collaboratively we can find win-win solutions to allow more efficient use of all spectrum and help support important government spectrum initiatives.

CTIA also absolutely supports making additional unlicensed spectrum available. The availability of unlicensed spectrum offers carriers a key tool for off-loading traffic and we know it is imperative to open additional bands to unlicensed use. We also are excited by the promise of

⁴ Thomas Swanabori and Robert Roche, "From Proposal to Deployment: The History of Spectrum Allocation Timelines," (July 2015). See <http://www.ctia.org/docs/default-source/default-document-library/072015-spectrum-timelines-white-paper.pdf>.

incorporating LTE technology into unlicensed bands for a more efficient and robust experience for all users, while co-existing with other unlicensed technologies.

We support experimentation with new spectrum sharing regimes as well. We appreciate that new technologies may allow for more flexible sharing arrangements than the historic geographic and temporal sharing techniques that have long served as staples of spectrum management. And we should, as a country, explore these new tools. To that end, we support the FCC's efforts at 3.5 GHz and hope they will prove successful.

As a country, however, the U.S. cannot settle too quickly into sharing regimes that rely on nascent or untested technologies or on an as yet undefined but likely complex government role. I have yet to meet a carrier CEO or CTO who believes we are ready to make that transition and until these approaches have been tested and scaled at commercially significant volumes, we cannot ask carriers to depend upon undefined or limited access to the spectrum they need to serve millions of users every day.

Refueling the Spectrum Pipeline

America needs a renewed discussion about where the next bands of airwaves will come from to ensure our future connected life is realized. Because after next year's broadcast incentive auction, we don't know what's next. We – the United States – do not have a plan.

Just last month, CTIA released a second Brattle paper, Substantial Licensed Spectrum Deficit (2015-2019): Updating the FCC's Mobile Data Demand Projections (see attachment), to evaluate how much additional spectrum needs to be allocated for commercial use if we are to keep abreast of demand projections. Using the same formula and approach the Commission used to formulate the National Broadband Plan in 2010 and taking into account technical efficiencies and infrastructure investment, Brattle estimates that we need to increase our existing supply of licensed spectrum by over 350 MHz by the end of this decade.

Having seen this process from all sides – as acting head of NTIA, as an FCC commissioner, and now in my capacity at CTIA – I recognize that meeting the goal we have set will not be easy. But

our global leadership depends on beginning this process. Countries around the world are looking to the next generation of mobile – 5G – not merely as a wireless technology, but as a key input for economic growth. We must do the same or we risk losing what today is a competitive advantage for our economy. Getting this right should be a national economic imperative.

We are committed to working with Congress and the Administration to identify future bands to meet the new 350 MHz target. We disagree that we should abandon the licensed spectrum model because it is too difficult to clear additional bands. We heard many of those same objections in the process that led to the recent AWS-3 auction. Just five years ago, industry was told that the 1755-1780 MHz band supported too many government assets to allow its reallocation any time soon, and even if it could be made available, reallocation would take too long and cost so much as to be impractical. The Congressional Budget Office did not think reallocation of the band was possible. Just two years ago, it was unclear whether the FCC would move forward with an AWS-3 auction. But with Congress' leadership, Administration focus and an unprecedented amount of collaboration, not only did it turn out that the auction was possible, it turned out to be the most financially successful auction the FCC has ever conducted, fully funding FirstNet and providing billions of dollars for deficit reduction. Yes, making additional licensed spectrum available is hard – but it's worth it.

Fortunately, there is already a broad cross-section of this Committee that has taken a leadership role on spectrum. Bills like the Wireless Innovation Act (S. 1618), the Federal Spectrum Incentive Act (S. 887), the Rural Spectrum Accessibility Act (S. 417), and the Wi-Fi Innovation Act (S. 424) demonstrate the broad and bi-partisan interest in advancing America's wireless future. We support these efforts to unlock more licensed and unlicensed spectrum, improve federal agency incentives, foster greater long-term spectrum planning, and facilitate rural 4G deployment.

We are ready to engage and look forward to working with each of you to help America's wireless industry maintain its position as the world's leader. Thank you for the opportunity to be a part of today's hearing.

BRATTLE GROUP: MOBILE BROADBAND SPECTRUM: A VITAL RESOURCE FOR THE AMERICAN ECONOMY

http://www.brattle.com/system/publications/pdfs/000/005/168/original/Mobile_Broadband_Spectrum_-_A_Valuable_Resource_for_the_American_Economy_Bazelon_McHenry_051115.pdf?1431372403

“Licensed spectrum is a vital resource for the U.S. economy and consumers across the country. The licensed spectrum used by the wireless industry boosted our nation’s economy, created jobs and produced the world’s best telecom and technology sector.”

“After taking into account approximate differences in band values, we estimate that the economic value of the 645.5 MHz of licensed spectrum is almost \$500 billion.”

“The value of licensed spectrum goes well beyond its economic or market value. As with most goods, wireless carrier customers usually value the service they buy more than they have to pay for it; even more so in a competitive industry like mobile wireless. For mobile wireless services, economists estimated that the total social benefits from licensed spectrum are at least 10 to 20 times the direct economic value of the spectrum. Therefore, thanks to the fiercely competitive nature of the wireless industry that enhances customer welfare, Americans save between \$5-\$10 trillion in income to invest or spend.”

CTIA: MOBILE DATA DEMAND: GROWTH FORECASTS MET

<http://www.ctia.org/docs/default-source/default-document-library/062115mobile-data-demands-white-paper.pdf>

“In 2010, the FCC forecast significant future growth in mobile data usage. Based on those demand forecasts, the National Broadband Plan called for making 500 MHz of spectrum available for wireless broadband by 2020, including 300 MHz for mobile by 2015.

Five years later, a look back at those forecasts shows that collectively they were remarkably accurate: In 2010, the FCC’s growth rate projections predicted mobile data traffic of 562 petabytes (PBs) each month by 2014; the actual amount was 563 PBs per month.

Looking ahead, projections predict that mobile data traffic by 2019 will be nearly *six times* the 2014 amount. The U.S. commercial wireless industry cannot meet this explosive growth simply through improved engineering, more infrastructure, and the spectrum that is currently scheduled to come online. Rather, the government, industry, and other stakeholders must come together to find additional spectrum bands that can be repurposed from existing uses to mobile broadband.”

BRATTLE GROUP: SUBSTANTIAL LICENSED SPECTRUM DEFICIT (2015-2019): UPDATING THE FCC’S MOBILE DATA DEMAND PROJECTIONS

http://www.brattle.com/system/news/pdfs/000/000/891/original/Substantial_Licensed_Spectrum_Deficit_%282015-2019%29_-_Updating_the_FCC's_Mobile_Data_Demand_Projections.pdf?1435613076

“Using the FCC’s own formula and approach, we update that forecast and find that by 2019, the U.S. will need more than 350 additional MHz of licensed spectrum to support projected commercial mobile wireless demand. Accordingly, over the next five years the United States must increase its existing supply of licensed broadband spectrum by over 50 percent.

This analysis relies on current projections that demand for wireless broadband capacity, even after accounting for offload to unlicensed services, will increase by six-fold by 2019. Our predictions suggest that just under half of this new demand can be met by increased deployment of cell sites and improved technology, particularly a heavier reliance on 4G and LTE Advanced technologies.

After accounting for this increased investment by carriers in network technology and infrastructure, we estimate that by 2019 net data demand will increase more than three-fold over 2014 levels. This remaining increase in

demand will need to be met by additional licensed spectrum allocations. Importantly, if demand increases faster than expected, if technology deployments lag, or if cell site deployment slows, even more licensed spectrum will be needed. Finally, even if over 350 MHz is repurposed to mobile broadband in the next five years, that spectrum will not address the even greater demand that we expect in 2020 and beyond.”

CTIA: FROM PROPOSAL TO DEPLOYMENT: THE HISTORY OF SPECTRUM ALLOCATION TIMELINES

<http://www.ctia.org/docs/default-source/default-document-library/072015-spectrum-timelines-white-paper.pdf>

“The data show that the process of reallocating spectrum for wireless has taken between six and 18 years – on average 13 years – from FCC Order to first deployment.”

“The policy implication of these facts is clear: we must begin now to identify the 350 MHz of licensed spectrum to meeting the increase in wireless traffic expected in 2019 and beyond.”

DELOITTE: UNITED STATES EXPANDS GLOBAL LEAD IN MOBILE BROADBAND: HOW POLICY ACTIONS COULD ENHANCE OR IMPERIL AMERICA’S MOBILE BROADBAND COMPETITIVENESS

<http://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/us/Documents/technology-media-telecommunications/us-tmt-mobile-index-09262014.pdf>

“The United States is in the enviable position of being the global leader in mobile broadband and has recently strengthened its position after losing a significant portion of its lead a few short years ago.”

“This leadership has enabled the United States to reap substantial economic and societal benefits that are being enjoyed by consumers and enabling numerous U.S. industries to strengthen their global competitive edge.”

“Other countries recognize the importance and value of mobile broadband leadership and are aggressively pursuing capabilities to challenge U.S. leadership, positioning themselves for a time when the United States might become vulnerable due to a mobile broadband supply shortage.”

“If U.S. wireless development is constrained due to lack of spectrum availability, America’s mobile broadband leadership will be at risk over the coming decade, jeopardizing benefits that extend across the broader U.S. economy. Delays or policy actions that impede the adequate supply of mobile broadband could demote the United States from its current position as global leader to just one of many contenders, resulting in reduced U.S. gross domestic product and job growth and diminished global technology eminence.”


CHETAN SHARMA: 5G: THE PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE OF THE MOBILE INDUSTRY EVOLUTION

http://www.mobilefutureforward.com/5G_Chetan_Sharma_Consulting.pdf

“In mature LTE markets like the US, Korea, and Japan, the talk has shifted to the next generation technology evolution – 5G. Even Europe, which still has a long way to go before their 4G is built out have set their sights on 5G to recapture the mantle and the pride of the GSM days. Korea and Japan led the world in 3G but lost the lead of 4G to the US. They both are eager to be considered leaders in 5G.”

“Europe and Japan are anxious to regain old glory. Korea has always been keen on maintaining its top ICT player ranking. China which has had some quixotic excursions to set its own standards has warmed up to the global standards and is very keen on leaving its own stamp on 5G.”

“Then of course, there is the US market – clearly the current leader in almost every dimension. Qualcomm sets the baseline for growth in mobile, a majority of LTE subs are in the US, the mobile growth is led by the software renegades – Google, Facebook, Amazon, Microsoft, and Apple. There are transformative startups every couple of years like Twitter, Airbnb, Uber, and others who are fundamentally changing industries. Will US let this lead slip as we enter the next 20 years of the 5G cycle?”



Substantial Licensed Spectrum Deficit (2015-2019): Updating the FCC's Mobile Data Demand Projections

PREPARED FOR

CTIA – The Wireless Association

PREPARED BY

Coleman Bazelon

Giulia McHenry

June 23, 2015



This report was prepared for the CTIA – The Wireless Association. All results and any errors are the responsibility of the authors and do not represent the opinion of The Brattle Group or its clients.

Acknowledgement: We acknowledge the valuable contributions of many individuals to this report and to the underlying analysis. Specifically, we are indebted for contributions from Ann Murray, Stephen Kline, and Stephen Lagos.

Copyright © 2015 The Brattle Group, Inc.



Table of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Background.....	2
	A. Spectrum Demand.....	2
	B. Adding Capacity: Spectrum versus Infrastructure Complementarity.....	5
	C. U.S. Spectrum Deficit.....	7
III.	Spectrum Forecast.....	9
	A. FCC’s Methodology.....	9
	B. Updated Inputs.....	10
	1. Mobile Broadband Data Demand.....	11
	2. Cell Sites.....	12
	3. Spectral Efficiency.....	13
	4. Spectrum in Use.....	15
	C. Estimated Spectrum Requirements.....	19

I. Introduction

Five years ago the Federal Communications Commission projected a licensed spectrum deficit of almost 300 MHz by 2014.¹ Using the FCC's own formula and approach, we update that forecast and find that by 2019, the U.S. will need more than 350 additional MHz of licensed spectrum to support projected commercial mobile wireless demand. Accordingly, over the next five years the United States (U.S.) must increase its existing supply of licensed broadband spectrum by over 50 percent.²

This analysis relies on current projections that demand for wireless broadband capacity, even after accounting for offload to unlicensed services, will increase by six-fold by 2019. Our predictions suggest that just under half of this new demand can be met by increased deployment of cell sites and improved technology, particularly a heavier reliance on 4G and LTE Advanced technologies. In the past six years, wireless operators have invested over \$160 billion and, even with additional spectrum, a similar financial commitment will be necessary to enhance and expand networks to help meet significantly higher data volumes.³

After accounting for this increased investment by carriers in network technology and infrastructure, we estimate that by 2019 net data demand will increase more than three-fold over 2014 levels. This remaining increase in demand will need to be met by additional licensed spectrum allocations. Importantly, if demand increases faster than expected, if technology deployments lag, or if cell site deployment slows, even more licensed spectrum will be needed. Finally, even if over 350 MHz is repurposed to mobile broadband in the next five years, that spectrum will not address the even greater demand that we expect in 2020 and beyond.

¹ Note, the National Broadband Plan states for 300 MHz of spectrum to be made available by 2015. See FCC, "Connecting America: The National Broadband Plan," Chapter 2, March 2010, at p. 10.

² There is currently 645.5 MHz of spectrum licensed for broadband. Coleman Bazelon and Giulia McHenry, "Mobile Broadband Spectrum: A Vital Resource for the U.S. Economy," Prepared for CTIA, May 11, 2015, at p. 1 ("Bazelon and McHenry, 2015").

³ CTIA, "2014 Data Survey Results: CTIA Survey Documents Dramatic U.S. Wireless Performance," June 17, 2015, at p. 2.

II. Background

A. SPECTRUM DEMAND

As demands for wireless services increase, so do the demands for licensed spectrum to provide those services. Over the past four years, increases in U.S. mobile data traffic demand have met the FCC's data growth expectations.⁴ According to Cisco, historic mobile data traffic for North America has increased over 11-fold from 49 petabytes per month in 2010 to 563 petabytes per month by 2014.⁵ Applying the FCC's growth expectations for 2010 to 2014 to Cisco's 2009 figure implies a projected 562 petabytes per month by 2014 for North America.⁶ This is consistent with Cisco's 2014 reported data demand of 563 petabytes per month.⁷

By current estimates and projections, the total volume of mobile data will increase substantially in the next five years.⁸ Cisco estimates that by 2019 U.S. mobile data traffic will reach 3.6

⁴ FCC, "Mobile Broadband: The Benefits of Additional Spectrum," October 2010. In its 2010 analysis, the FCC used a blended projection based on Cisco, Coda, and Yankee Group projections. At the time, Cisco's expectations were the highest, equivalent to 773 petabytes per month by 2014. See "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2009-2014," Cisco, February 9, 2014, Table 7.

⁵ For 2010 data, see "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2010-2015," Cisco, February 1, 2011, Table 9. For 2014 data, see "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2014-2019," Cisco, February 3, 2015, Table 6.

⁶ Calculation: 16 petabytes per month in 2009 x 35x growth from data through 2014 ≈ 562 petabytes per month in 2014. The FCC assumes growth in data by 2014 would be 3506%. See FCC, "Mobile Broadband: The Benefits of Additional Spectrum," October 2010, at p. 23. Cisco projected 16.022 petabytes per month of data for North America in 2009. See "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2009-2014," Cisco, February 9, 2014, Table 7.

⁷ "VNI Mobile Forecast Highlights, 2014-2019: North America," Cisco, available at http://www.cisco.com/assets/sol/sp/vni/forecast_highlights_mobile/index.html#~Country (last accessed June 16, 2015). In 2014 the U.S. accounted for 532 petabytes per month of data, or almost 95 percent of all North America traffic. See "VNI Mobile Forecast Highlights, 2014-2019: United States America," Cisco, available at http://www.cisco.com/assets/sol/sp/vni/forecast_highlights_mobile/index.html#~Country (last accessed June 16, 2015).


⁸ For instance, Ericsson also forecasted a rapid growth in data demand. See "Traffic Exploration Data Traffic – Mobile PC/Router/Tablet and Smartphone," available at <http://www.ericsson.com/TET/trafficView/loadBasicEditor.ericsson> (last accessed June 18, 2015).

exabytes per month, which is a seven-fold increase from 2014.⁹ See Figure 1. This increase in traffic will be driven by an increasing number of users (including machine users), more mobile connections per user, and growing demand for faster speeds and more intensive data consuming services, such as mobile video. By 2019, mobile users are expected to increase by 21 million to 290 million, mobile connections will increase by over 600 million to over 1 billion, and mobile video traffic will represent 75 percent of total traffic.¹⁰

⁹ Robert Pepper, “Cisco Visual Networking Index (VNI) Forecast: Mobile Data Traffic Update, 2014-2019 (Focus on U.S.),” Cisco, February 3, 2015, at slide 5. Cisco’s definition of mobile data traffic includes devices such as feature phones, smartphones, laptops, tablets, M2M, and other portable devices. Applications include web/data/VoIP, video, audio streaming, and file sharing. See “Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2014-2019,” Cisco, February 3, 2015, Table 6. Our analysis suggests that Cisco’s 4-year out data projections from 2009 through 2011 were roughly 15 percent higher than realized data demand. We account for this discrepancy in our projections below. See discussion at Section III.B.1 for more details.

¹⁰ Robert Pepper, “Cisco Visual Networking Index (VNI) Forecast: Mobile Data Traffic Update, 2014-2019 (Focus on U.S.),” Cisco, February 3, 2015, at slide 6.

Figure 1: Wireless Data Demand



Source:
 Data based on “Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2010-2015,” Cisco, February 1, 2011, Table 9 and “Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2014-2019,” Cisco, February 3, 2015, Table 6.
 LTE percentage of Offload Traffic based on Robert Pepper, “Cisco Visual Networking Index (VNI) Forecast: Mobile Data Traffic Update, 2014-2019 (Focus on U.S.),” Cisco, February 3, 2015, at slides 21 and 23.

Although some portion of this increased demand can be met by increasing capital expenditures to deploy new technologies, offload to unlicensed networks, and investment in further network build-out, additional licensed spectrum will also be necessary. Cisco estimates that by 2019, 91

percent of U.S. mobile data traffic will be 4G LTE, up from 72 percent in 2014.¹¹ These 4G LTE technologies will likely almost double capacity over current 3G technologies.¹²

Moreover, Cisco also estimates that by 2019, twice as much wireless data will be offloaded to unlicensed spectrum as is carried on the macro networks using licensed spectrum.¹³ This is up from 2014, when 30 percent more data was offloaded than was carried by macro networks. See Figure 1, above. Accommodating this additional capacity demand will require both additional licensed wireless broadband spectrum and capital expenditures.

B. ADDING CAPACITY: SPECTRUM VERSUS INFRASTRUCTURE COMPLEMENTARITY

For wireless broadband networks, there is a necessary balance between the amount of infrastructure and spectrum used. Spectrum-based services require a combination of spectrum and infrastructure to operate. In provisioning a given level of capacity for a network, once the network technology is chosen, up to a point, network operators still face a trade-off between the amount of spectrum and the number of cell sites deployed.¹⁴ At a minimum, a mobile wireless

¹¹ As indicated by Figure 1, the magnitude of Cisco's overall projections is largely driven by their projections of LTE growth. See Robert Pepper, "Cisco Visual Networking Index (VNI) Forecast: Mobile Data Traffic Update, 2014-2019 (Focus on U.S.)," Cisco, February 3, 2015, at slide 21.

¹² See Table 2 below.

¹³ Cisco predicts that 66 percent of U.S. mobile data traffic will be offloaded to WiFi networks in 2019. In addition, Cisco estimates that by 2019 63 percent of U.S. mobile device connections will be 4G LTE, up from 41 percent in 2014. See Robert Pepper, "Cisco Visual Networking Index (VNI) Forecast: Mobile Data Traffic Update, 2014-2019 (Focus on U.S.)," Cisco, February 3, 2015, at slides 15, and 23.

¹⁴ The driving innovation behind mobile wireless networks is cellular architecture. By dividing the geographic footprint of radio base stations into small areas, the same frequencies can be reused in non-adjointing cells. When additional capacity is required, this principle can continually be applied by dividing existing cells into smaller and smaller cells, up to a point.

The data capacity of a wireless cell site is roughly dependent on the amount of spectrum and the network technology deployed, regardless of its geographic coverage area. (With very small cells, total capacity may be smaller. See, Richard Clarke, "Expanding Mobile Wireless Capacity: The Challenges Presented by Technology and Economics," *Telecommunications Policy* (2013), p. 6.) Based on its data capacity, a cell site can only cover a fixed number of subscribers in a given area before the quality of service deteriorates. By varying the power of a cell site, its wireless capacity can be spread over a wide geographic footprint if a cell site covers a large area—as would be the case in rural or suburban deployment—or it could cover a small geographic area—as would be the case in a dense urban deployment.

network requires enough cell sites and related infrastructure¹⁵ to cover its entire service area¹⁶ with adequate capacity and sufficient spectrum to carry projected traffic loads. From that point, carriers must increase capacity by either adding additional spectrum or building more infrastructure.

The process of adding more cells, particularly small cells, is time consuming and expensive, and grows increasingly expensive as networks become more capacity constrained. Operators must obtain leases, permits, and attachment rights; install equipment; obtain backhaul; and integrate new cells with the existing network. This requires capital for the construction and equipment and ongoing expense costs for the lease, backhaul, and maintenance. Moreover, obtaining new cell site locations where needed to relieve traffic growth, and ensuring there is sufficient backhaul to support additional cell sites, becomes increasingly difficult. As network density increases, this is particularly the case in urban areas with strict zoning requirements.

The exact mix of spectrum and infrastructure depends on the relative cost of the two inputs. As the value of spectrum increases, wireless service providers are likely to deploy additional infrastructure to more intensively use the available spectrum. Likewise, as it becomes more difficult and increasingly costly to add capacity through infrastructure, it becomes more efficient to use additional spectrum to increase network capacity. Although some portion of the growing demand for wireless services will be met through increase in capital intensity, more spectrum will also be required given the sheer amount of additional data on the networks.¹⁷

To keep up with increasing demands, carriers will have to continue investing heavily in their network infrastructure, as they have done in the past. From January 1992 to December 2002, wireless carriers spent just over \$193 billion dollars on capital expenditures, or roughly \$17.5 billion annually. From January 2003 to December 2013, this figure grew to just under \$315

¹⁵ The physical infrastructure of a network includes transmission equipment for cell sites, network backhaul facilities and routing equipment.

¹⁶ Depending on the propagation characteristics of the spectrum deployed—how the wavelength travels—and the maximum power levels allowed by license, a cell site will have a maximum coverage radius. Within this coverage area, the actual range of a cell is based on design factors such as transmission power levels chosen and various other engineering choices.

¹⁷ Increasing capital intensity is also known as deepening the wireless network. Others have recognized that such deepening will not be sufficient to meet future growing demands. See, for example, Richard Clarke, “Expanding Mobile Wireless Capacity: The Challenges Presented by Technology and Economics,” *Telecommunications Policy* (2013).

billion dollars, or roughly \$28.6 billion annually. This represents a roughly 60 percent increase.¹⁸ This spending continued at this level as carriers spent over \$32 billion in capital investment in 2014.¹⁹ On top of those capital expenditures, carrier investments in purchasing licensed spectrum from FCC auctions total \$87.3 billion, which does not include currently licensed spectrum that was originally licensed outside of the auction process or sold on the secondary market.²⁰ Although continued capital investment in mobile wireless is essential, as shown below, it will not be sufficient to meet the growing demand for wireless capacity.

C. U.S. SPECTRUM DEFICIT

In 2010, the FCC in its National Broadband Plan targeted approximately 300 MHz of spectrum to be reallocated to mobile broadband within five years, and a total of 500 MHz of spectrum to be reallocated to wireless by 2020.²¹ The President subsequently supported the FCC's call for an additional 500 MHz of spectrum.²² According to the FCC's analysis, making 300 MHz available by 2014 would create over \$100 billion in economic value for the country.²³ While growth in data demand has kept up with the FCC's projections,²⁴ spectrum reallocations have not.

As we previously estimated, of the 300 MHz of spectrum the FCC identified as needed by this year, only 149 MHz has been reallocated.²⁵ On net, however, there are only an additional 98.5

¹⁸ All numbers are reported in 2013 constant dollars. See CTIA, "That Didn't Take Long..." CTIA Blog, March 4, 2015, available at <http://blog.ctia.org/2015/03/04/that-didnt-take-long/> (last accessed June 19, 2015).

¹⁹ Figure reported in 2014 dollars. See CTIA, "2014 Data Survey Results: CTIA Survey Documents Dramatic U.S. Wireless Performance," June 17, 2015, at p. 5.

²⁰ For various auction results, see http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/default.htm?job=auctions_home. Figure includes auction results for 700 MHz, AWS-1, PCS, H-Block, and AWS-3. This does not include Auction 5: Broadband PCS C Block, which sold for \$10.1 billion.

²¹ FCC, "Connecting America: The National Broadband Plan," Chapter 5, March 2010, at p. 10. We describe the FCC's methodology in more detail at Section III.A.

²² "Presidential Memorandum: Unleashing the Wireless Broadband Revolution," The White House, Office of the Press Secretary, June 28, 2010; and FCC, "Mobile Broadband: The Benefits of Additional Spectrum," FCC Staff Technical Paper, October 2010, at p. 2.

²³ FCC, "Mobile Broadband: The Benefits of Additional Spectrum," October 2010, at p. 2.

²⁴ As described above, wireless mobile data traffic for North America in 2014 was 563 petabytes per month, whereas using the FCC's 2010 growth factor expectations projected that mobile data traffic would be 562 petabytes per month by 2014 for North America.

²⁵ Bazelon and McHenry, 2015, at p. 8. The added spectrum includes 10 MHz of PCS H-Block, 65 MHz of AWS-3, 20 MHz of WCS, 14 MHz of SMR, and 40 MHz of AWS-4. The National Broadband Plan

MHz available in comparison to 2010.²⁶ This suggests that the U.S. has met roughly 30 percent of the FCC's five-year spectrum target, creating an even larger future spectrum deficit to be made up by 2020.

Up until now, the industry has worked to meet this data demand with less spectrum than suggested by the FCC in 2010. Over the past five years carriers have met the growing demand for mobile wireless data using a combination of the previously licensed and deployed spectrum and larger capital expenditures. Carriers have been able to deploy spectrum, including the original AWS-1 and 700 MHz allocations that were licensed but generally not yet available for deployment by 2010.²⁷ Moreover, the increase in data demand was not uniform, as the FCC's model implicitly assumed. As consumers increased their usage, peak busy hour usage continued to grow, but not necessarily in the traditional voice busy hour peaks.²⁸ With the explosive growth of data and video, and the shift to relatively more usage in non-peak times, it will become increasingly difficult for carriers to meet new capacity demands in the future.

Continued from previous page

identified all of this spectrum, except the PCS H-Block and SMR. See FCC, "Connecting America: The National Broadband Plan," Chapter 5, at pp. 76-77.

²⁶ Bazelon and McHenry, 2015, at pp. 7-9. The FCC estimated 547 MHz of available spectrum in 2010, including 194 MHz of BRS/EBS spectrum and 23 MHz of "other spectrum". The BRS/EBS was reduced to 156.5 MHz when the FCC updated its spectrum screen, reducing the total spectrum inventory by 37.5 MHz (194 MHz – 156.5 MHz). We also excluded the 23 MHz of "other spectrum" from our revised inventory, but added 10 MHz of G-Block spectrum that had not been counted for a net reduction of 13 MHz. After this 50.5 MHz (37.5 MHz + 23 MHz – 10 MHz) is netted out, the net added spectrum is 98.5 MHz (149 MHz – 50.5 MHz).

²⁷ It typically takes at least several years from the time a licensed spectrum band is reallocated and assigned to the point at which the spectrum is ready for a deployment. Among other issues, relocating incumbent users, developing handsets and network equipment, as well as planning and building the network all take substantial time. Moreover, carriers have to carefully plan spectrum deployments in order to have spectrum available for transitions from one technology generation to another.

²⁸ One analyst has recently compared current wireless networks to mullets, carrying business traffic in the front (during the day) and video and gaming traffic around back (at night). Mitch Wagner, "Networks Are Like Mullets," LightReading, June 15, 2015, available at <http://www.lightreading.com/carrier-sdn/sdn-technology/networks-are-like-mullets/d/d-id/716284> (last accessed June 18, 2015). This is a relatively new phenomenon for mobile providers, which has increased the level of traffic during historically off-peak hours. The rise in mobile video demand is also consistent with the rapid, and somewhat unforeseen, shift to tablet devices.

III. Spectrum Forecast

A. FCC'S METHODOLOGY

This paper updates the FCC's 2010 forecast as reported in the National Broadband Plan. The FCC's analysis started by projecting demand for wireless capacity, using the average forecasts from three different sources: Cisco, Coda Research Consultancy, and Yankee Group.²⁹ The FCC's analysis projected that demand in 2014 would be 35 times the demand in 2009. As explained above, this projection was very close to reality. According to Cisco, mobile data traffic was 563 petabytes per month by 2014 for North America,³⁰ as compared to the 562 petabytes per month that would be produced based on the FCC's 2009 growth figure.³¹

The FCC's approach was to calibrate a model of spectrum demand using four inputs:

- Mobile Broadband Data Demand. Using third party estimates, the FCC projected total wireless data demand for mobile networks would grow 35-fold between 2009 and 2014.³²
- Cell Sites. Based on CTIA projections, the FCC reported 245,912 cell sites in 2009 and projected they would grow by seven percent per year.³³
- Spectral Efficiency. Recognizing that different generations of wireless technology—2G, 3G, and 4G—had increasing spectral efficiency, the FCC estimated the average efficiency gains based on the mix of subscribers expected to use each technology generation. Their projections included transitioning to 4G, which at that time had not yet been widely deployed. The FCC assumed average spectral efficiency increased from 0.625 Mbps/MHz in 2009 to 1.25 Mbps/MHz in 2014.³⁴

²⁹ FCC, "Mobile Broadband: The Benefits of Additional Spectrum," FCC Staff Technical Paper, October 2010, at p. 9.

³⁰ "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2014-2019," Cisco, February 3, 2015, Table 6.

³¹ See footnote 6.

³² FCC, "Mobile Broadband: The Benefits of Additional Spectrum," FCC Staff Technical Paper, October 2010, Exhibit 10.

³³ FCC, "Mobile Broadband: The Benefits of Additional Spectrum," FCC Staff Technical Paper, October 2010, Exhibit 10.

³⁴ FCC, "Mobile Broadband: The Benefits of Additional Spectrum," FCC Staff Technical Paper, October 2010, Exhibit 10.

- Spectrum In Use. The FCC estimated the amount of spectrum deployed, or in use, as of 2009 to be 170 MHz, including 120 MHz of PCS and 50 MHz of Cellular.³⁵ Of that, they assumed that two-thirds of this spectrum (113 MHz) was reserved for voice services, implying that 57 MHz was deployed for data in the base year.³⁶ The total amount of spectrum estimated to be deployed in each subsequent year was the amount required to make capacity meet demand.

Using these inputs, the FCC projected how cell sites and spectral efficiency would grow from that baseline year to meet demand over time. Based on the remaining growth in data demand that was not accommodated by additional cell sites and spectral efficiency improvements, the FCC estimated the amount of additional licensed spectrum that would be required to meet demand.

For the base year of 2009, the total demand was met by the base year number of cell sites, existing spectrum efficiency and deployed spectrum. The projected increases in cell sites and spectrum efficiency met some of the growing demand; the rest was projected to be met by additional spectrum deployed. Specifically, total projected demand increased by 3,506 percent between 2009 and 2014. After accounting for expected cell site growth and spectral efficiency, the remaining increase in demand that had to be met by additional spectrum was 1,250 percent.³⁷ In total, the FCC projected 802 MHz would be needed to meet this demand, which was 275 MHz more than the 547 MHz allocated as of 2009.³⁸ This “nearly 300 MHz” spectrum deficit was incorporated into the National Broadband Plan.

B. UPDATED INPUTS

To provide a new five-year forecast and estimate the spectrum deficit through 2019, we use the same basic methodology that the FCC used for its 2009 estimate, updating assumptions to reflect current usage. These updated assumptions are described below.

³⁵ FCC, “Mobile Broadband: The Benefits of Additional Spectrum,” FCC Staff Technical Paper, October 2010, at p. 16; and FCC, “Connecting America: The National Broadband Plan,” Chapter 5, March 2010, at pp. 84-85, which shows 170 MHz of PCS and Cellular currently in use.

³⁶ FCC, “Mobile Broadband: The Benefits of Additional Spectrum,” FCC Staff Technical Paper, October 2010, Exhibit 10. They assumed that the spectrum required for voice remained fixed at 113 MHz, so that only the spectrum required for data would grow.

³⁷ FCC, “Mobile Broadband: The Benefits of Additional Spectrum,” October 2010, at Exhibit 10.

³⁸ 708 MHz to meet data demand and 113 MHz to meet voice demand.

1. Mobile Broadband Data Demand

Since Coda Research Consultancy and Yankee Group do not have current publicly available estimates, our analysis relies on Cisco's most recent projections of mobile broadband demand. Over the past several years, Cisco has generally published the most robust and detailed estimated projections, and public disclosure of its methods. Consequently, we view their projections as the most appropriate for this context. As discussed in Section II, Cisco estimates that by 2019 U.S. mobile data traffic will reach 3.6 exabytes per month, which is a 7-fold increase from 2014.³⁹ This demand will come from more users, more mobile connections per user and increased usage. This mobile broadband demand excludes data offloaded to unlicensed networks, which Cisco projects will account for an additional 7 exabytes per month of capacity by 2019.⁴⁰ Without this projected growth in unlicensed offloading, the increase from 2014 would be nine-fold.

Nevertheless, Cisco's past U.S. projections have turned out to be somewhat aggressive for certain years; therefore we decided to discount them in order to ensure that our estimates are conservative.⁴¹ We based our discount on the historical accuracy of Cisco's projections four years out.⁴² For instance, Cisco's January 2009 projections for 2013 were five percent higher than the

³⁹ Robert Pepper, "Cisco Visual Networking Index (VNI) Forecast: Mobile Data Traffic Update, 2014-2019 (Focus on U.S.)," Cisco, February 3, 2015, at slide 5. Cisco's definition of mobile data traffic includes devices such as feature phones, smartphones, laptops, tablets, M2M, and other portable devices. Applications include web/data/VoIP, video, audio streaming, and file sharing. See "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2014-2019," Cisco, February 3, 2015, Table 6. Cisco's estimates implicitly assume no change in pricing structure of wireless services. If the spectrum deficit is not addressed, it is likely that wireless service pricing would have to change in order to slow demand for wireless mobile data, and new services and offerings may also be curtailed or delayed.

⁴⁰ Calculation: $(3.6 \text{ exabytes per month} \div (1 - 66 \text{ percent})) - 3.6 \text{ exabytes per month} \approx 7 \text{ exabytes per month}$. This is based on Cisco's projected 3.6 exabytes per month of U.S. data in 2019, and 66 percent of data offloaded in the U.S. See Robert Pepper, "Cisco Visual Networking Index (VNI) Forecast: Mobile Data Traffic Update, 2014-2019 (Focus on U.S.)," Cisco, February 3, 2015, at slide 23.

⁴¹ An alternative approach would be to take an average of the two publicly available data projections from Cisco and Ericsson. This alternative would reduce Cisco's original data demand growth by 13 percent in 2019. However, Ericsson does not release their projected growth by technology, so we could not properly estimate the growth in spectral efficiency in this case, nor could we replicate the FCC's formula from 2010 using Ericsson data.

⁴² We chose to compare the fourth year to the first year projections (instead of actual realized demand) to add an additional observation of Cisco's performance. If we were to look at the actual year vs. five-year projections, we would only have two observations, instead of three. If we were to take this approach, the discount would have been 20 percent. Using this 20 percent adjustment would also

Continued on next page

2013 projections for 2013;⁴³ Cisco's 2010 projections for 2014 were 24 percent higher than their 2014 projections for 2014;⁴⁴ and their 2011 projections for 2015 were 16 percent higher than their 2015 projection for 2015.⁴⁵ The average of these three data points is 15 percent, which we phase in from 2014 forward.⁴⁶

2. Cell Sites

Consistent with the FCC's methodology, we use the CTIA's Annual Wireless Industry Survey to estimate the total cell sites at the beginning of the period and the annual growth in cell sites. The CTIA Survey reports 298,055 cells sites at the end of 2014.⁴⁷ Further, the five year rolling average annual cell site growth has decreased from the seven percent in 2009, as used by the FCC,⁴⁸ to about four percent in 2014. We assume, therefore, a four percent annual growth in cell sites persists through 2019.⁴⁹ These assumptions suggest that the inventory of U.S. cell sites will

Continued from previous page

require adjusting downward the growth of LTE, somewhat off-setting the impact of the adjustment in our projections by also reducing the spectral efficiency gains. Ultimately, we chose to reduce the Cisco forecast by 15 percent, but without an offsetting reduction in efficiency growth.

⁴³ "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update," Cisco, January 29, 2009, Table 1; and "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2012-2017," Cisco, February 6, 2013, Table 6.

⁴⁴ "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2009-2014," Cisco, February 9, 2010, Table 7; and "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2013-2018," Cisco, February 5, 2014, Table 6.

⁴⁵ "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2010-2015," Cisco, February 1, 2011, Table 9; and "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2014-2019," Cisco, February 3, 2015, Table 6.

⁴⁶ We apply the 15 percent discount to ensure that we are being conservative. Our understanding is that prior Cisco estimates relied, in part, on assuming the continued proliferation of mobile netbooks and laptops. This turned out to be superseded by the rise of tablets and smartphone devices. Thus, current Cisco estimates based on expected devices may well prove accurate, which would mean our estimate underestimates the actual amount of spectrum required by 2019.

⁴⁷ CTIA, "2014 Data Survey Results: CTIA Survey Documents Dramatic U.S. Wireless Performance," June 17, 2015, at p. 5. The survey estimates 298,055 cell sites as of December 2014. The FCC estimated 344,904 cell sites by 2014. As discussed in the text, this number is likely inflated due to recent consolidation of networks. See FCC, "Mobile Broadband: The Benefits of Additional Spectrum," October 2010, Exhibit 10, at p. 18.

⁴⁸ FCC, "Mobile Broadband: The Benefits of Additional Spectrum," October 2010, at Exhibit 10.

⁴⁹ A four percent growth rate may prove overly optimistic given the sheer number of cell sites now available, the challenge of identifying new sites, and the proliferation of small versus macro cell sites going forward. Overestimating the growth of cell sites, in turn, overestimates the amount of data

Continued on next page

grow about 22 percent over the next five years, from about 298,055 in 2014 to over 362,269 in 2019.⁵⁰ See Table 1.

Given that growth in cell sites has decelerated over time, holding the growth rate constant at its recent historical level may be conservative. Cell site growth has been declining in recent years as certain carriers have consolidated networks or retired legacy 2G-only cell sites. In fact, there was a small reduction in total cell sites in 2014.

Table 1: Forecasted U.S. Cell Sites

Year	Change from 2014 [a]	Forecasted Cell Sites [b]
2014	100.00%	298,055
2015	104.00%	309,977
2016	108.16%	322,376
2017	112.49%	335,271
2018	116.99%	348,682
2019	121.67%	362,629

Source & Notes:

[a]: Assume four percent growth each year.

[b]: [a] x 2014[b].

3. Spectral Efficiency

One of the most critical tools for mobile operators to meet the demand growth since 2010 has been the rollout of 4G LTE networks that are dramatically more spectrally efficient than 3G networks. Based on recent technology-specific spectral efficiency estimates published by Peter Rysavy, we assume the current average spectral efficiency by technology. See Table 2. The

Continued from previous page

traffic that can be accommodated by cell site expansion, which then underestimates the potential demand for spectrum. Much like the 15 percent discount to Cisco projections, we seek to apply conservative estimates throughout our analysis so as to not inflate the ultimate spectrum deficit figure.

⁵⁰ CTIA’s survey requests carriers report the number of cell sites in commercial service, including macro-cells, micro-cells, and cell-extending devices such as Distributed Antenna Systems and small cells.

Rysavy estimates reflect optimal efficiency, which we reduce by 20 percent to account for actual efficiency.⁵¹

Table 2: Summary of Spectral Efficiency by Technology

Technology	Efficiency <i>bps / Hz</i>
[1] LTE+	1.92
[2] 4G LTE	1.12
[3] 3G	0.72
[4] 2G	0.48

Source & Notes:

Rysavy Research, “Beyond LTE: Enabling the Mobile Broadband Explosion,” August 2014. We assume that, on average, LTE+ is consistent with spectral efficiency of LTE (4x4), 4G LTE is consistent with LTE (2x2), 3G is consistent with HSDPA (MRxM), and 2G is consistent with EDGE.

To estimate the average annual spectral efficiency across all speeds, we apply these efficiency rates to Cisco’s projections of mobile traffic by technology.⁵² Within 4G data traffic, we also account for the expected shift to more spectral efficient solutions, such as 4G+.⁵³ Our analysis suggests that spectral efficiency will increase by over 40 percent by 2019. See Table 3. The shift to 4G+ includes LTE-Advanced capabilities such as Carrier Aggregation, Coordinated Multipoint, Inter-cell Interference Coordination (ICIC), higher order Multiple Input Multiple Output (MIMO) antennas, improved gain from antenna improvements, and improved small cell operation with existing cells. These gains will not likely be deployed widespread, but will be deployed where peak usage demand occurs. While LTE-Advanced has not been widely deployed at this time, including its anticipated adoption significantly lowers the residual demand that must be met by additional licensed spectrum.

⁵¹ Based on discussions with Peter Rysavy in April 2015. This reduction is intended to reflect the real-world complications in network deployments that reduce the efficiency of the network equipment.

⁵² Robert Pepper, “Cisco Visual Networking Index (VNI) Forecast: Mobile Data Traffic Update, 2014-2019 (Focus on U.S.),” Cisco, February 3, 2015.

⁵³ For further discussion of 4G+ technologies, see Richard Clarke, “Expanding Mobile Wireless Capacity: The Challenges Presented by Technology and Economics,” *Telecommunications Policy* (2013), Table 3.

Table 3: Forecasted U.S. Mobile Spectral Efficiency

	2G	3G	4G LTE	LTE+	Weighted average efficiency <i>bps / Hz</i>
	[a]	[b]	[c]	[d]	[e]
[1] Spectral Efficiency	0.48	0.72	1.12	1.92	
Share of Traffic					
[2] 2014	0%	28%	72%	0%	1.01
[3] 2015	0%	24%	59%	17%	1.16
[4] 2016	0%	20%	51%	29%	1.27
[5] 2017	0%	17%	50%	34%	1.32
[6] 2018	0%	13%	46%	42%	1.40
[7] 2019	0%	9%	41%	50%	1.48

Sources & Notes:

[1]: Based on spectral efficiency estimates. See Rysavy Research, “Beyond LTE: Enabling the Mobile Broadband Explosion,” August 2014, at p. 71. Data reported in bps/Hz.

[2][a]-[7][c]: Based on traffic share estimates. See Robert Pepper, “Cisco Visual Networking Index (VNI) Forecast: Mobile Data Traffic Update, 2014-2019 (Focus on U.S.),” Cisco, February 3, 2015, at slide 21 and Richard Clarke, “Expanding Mobile Wireless Capacity: The Challenges Presented by Technology and Economics,” *Telecommunications Policy* (2013), Table 3.

[2]-[7][d]: $[1][a] \times [a] + [1][b] \times [b] + [1][c] \times [c] + [1][d] \times [d]$.

4. Spectrum in Use

We calculate both the total licensed spectrum allocated for mobile broadband uses and the amount of the total that is deployed in our base year of 2014. As discussed above, since 2010 the FCC has released an additional 149 MHz of mobile broadband spectrum, for a net increase of 98.5 MHz, through a combination of spectrum auctions, rebanding, and other rule changes.⁵⁴ This represents about one-third of their own goal of reallocating 300 MHz for mobile broadband in five years. As a result, we estimate that there is 645.5 MHz of spectrum available for mobile broadband.⁵⁵

⁵⁴ Bazelon and McHenry, 2015, at pp. 7-10. See footnote 26 for further explanation of this calculation. Not all of these additional frequencies are available immediately. For instance, AWS-3 will be available only as incumbent federal users transition out.

⁵⁵ Bazelon and McHenry, 2015, at p. 8.

We estimate that there was a total of 348 MHz of spectrum in use in 2014.⁵⁶ As shown in Table 4, this includes the 170 MHz of PCS and Cellular in use as of 2010,⁵⁷ as well as 90 MHz of AWS-1, 64 MHz of 700 MHz, and 14 MHz of SMR. Both AWS-1 and 700 MHz have largely been deployed by carriers.⁵⁸ Moreover, we understand that Sprint had deployed SMR for its LTE network by December 2014.⁵⁹ Lastly, Sprint uses the 10 MHz G block for LTE.⁶⁰

In contrast to the FCC's assumption that total spectrum needed for voice would be held constant, we recognize that with improving technical efficiency, including such technologies as Voice over LTE (VoLTE), and additional cell sites, this demand will be met with fewer MHz. Consequently, we calculate that instead of the 113 MHz used by the FCC, 63 MHz of spectrum is needed to

⁵⁶ As discussed in footnote 27, it typically takes at least several years for bands to be ready for deployment once they are allocated and assigned. Since 2014, AT&T has deployed 20 MHz of WCS spectrum. See Phil Goldstein, "AT&T expects to start deploying 2.3 GHz WCS spectrum for LTE this summer," FierceWireless, March 30, 2015, available at <http://www.fiercewireless.com/tech/node/69181/print> (last accessed May 19, 2015).

⁵⁷ FCC, "Mobile Broadband: The Benefits of Additional Spectrum," FCC Staff Technical Paper, October 2010, at p. 16.

⁵⁸ For example, Verizon runs an LTE network on its 700 MHz C Block, while AT&T planned to use its 700 MHz Lower D and E Blocks for an LTE Broadcast service. See, Phil Goldstein, "Verizon starts deploying LTE in its AWS spectrum," FierceWireless, October 15, 2013, available at <http://www.fiercewireless.com/story/verizon-starts-deploying-lte-its-aws-spectrum/2013-10-15> (last accessed May 19, 2015). At this time, it does not appear Dish has deployed its 700 MHz E Block. See, Mike Dano, "Dish proposal hints at plans to deploy LTE across 700 MHz E Block and AWS-4 holdings," FierceWireless, September 11, 2013, available at <http://www.fiercewireless.com/story/dish-proposal-hints-plans-deploy-lte-across-700-mhz-e-block-and-aws-4-holdi/2013-09-11> (last accessed May 19, 2015).

In addition, Verizon started deploying its LTE network on its AWS spectrum. See, Phil Goldstein, "Verizon starts deploying LTE in its AWS spectrum," FierceWireless, October 15, 2013, available at <http://www.fiercewireless.com/story/verizon-starts-deploying-lte-its-aws-spectrum/2013-10-15> (last accessed May 19, 2015). T-Mobile also has deployed its LTE network on AWS-1 spectrum. See, Phil Goldstein, "T-Mobile to focus on 1900 MHz LTE deployment to expand network footprint," FierceWireless, September 24, 2014, available <http://www.fiercewireless.com/story/t-mobile-focus-1900-mhz-lte-deployment-expand-network-footprint/2014-09-24> (last accessed May 19, 2015).

⁵⁹ Todd R. Weiss, "Sprint's LTE Network is 'Substantially Complete,' CFO Says," eWeek, December 5, 2014, available at <http://www.eweek.com/networking/sprints-lte-network-is-substantially-complete-cfo-says.html> (last accessed May 19, 2015).

⁶⁰ Kevin Fitchard, "Sorry, not interested: Sprint bows out of the PCS spectrum auction," Gigaom, November 13, 2013, available at <https://gigaom.com/2013/11/13/sorry-not-interested-sprint-bows-out-of-the-pcs-spectrum-auction/> (last accessed May 14, 2015). Although Sprint is planning on using its 2.5 GHz holdings for LTE, it is not generally deployed as of today.

meet voice demand in 2014, falling to 34 MHz by 2019 at which point 97 percent of available spectrum in use will be used to meet data demand.

Table 4: Spectrum in Use as of 2014

Band Name	Location	Potential Spectrum Supply MHz	Currently Deployed MHz
[a]	[b]	[c]	[d]
<u>700 MHz</u>			
[1] Paired	700 MHz	58	58
[2] Unpaired	700 MHz	12	6
[3] Cellular	800 MHz	50	50
[4] SMR	800 MHz / 900 MHz	14	14
[5] AWS-1	1.7 GHz / 2.1 GHz	90	90
[6] PCS	1.9 GHz	120	120
[7] G-Block	1.9 GHz	10	10
[8] H-Block	1.9 GHz / 2.0 GHz	10	0
<u>AWS-3</u>			
[9] Paired	1.7 GHz / 2.1 GHz	50	0
[10] Unpaired	1.7 GHz	15	0
[11] AWS-4	2.0 GHz / 2.2 GHz	40	0
[12] WCS	2.3 GHz	20	0
[13] BRS/EBS	2.5 GHz	156.5	0
[14]	Total:	645.5	348

Sources & Notes:

[a]-[c]: Based on Bazelon and McHenry, 2015, at pp. 7-10.

[1]-[7][d]: See discussion above.

[8][d]: The FCC completed its H Block auction on February 27, 2014. See FCC, Public Notice, Auction of H Block Licenses In The 1915-1920 MHz and 1995-2000 MHz Bands Closes (Feb. 28, 2014), https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-14-279A1.pdf.

[9]-[10][d]: The FCC completed its AWS-3 auction on January 29, 2015. See FCC, Public Notice, Auction of Advanced Wireless Services (AWS-3) Licenses Closes (Jan. 30, 2015), https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-15-131A1.pdf.

[11][d]: The FCC adopted flexible use rules for the AWS-4 band on December 11, 2012. See FCC, Service Rules for Advanced Wireless Services in the 2000-2020 MHz and 2180-2200 MHz Bands, Report and Order and Order of Proposed Modification (Dec. 11, 2012), https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-12-151A1.pdf.

[12][d]: The FCC freed up WCS spectrum for mobile broadband use on October 17, 2012. See FCC, Order on Reconsideration, Amendment of Part 27 of the Commission's Rules to Govern the Operation of Wireless Communications Services in the 2.3 GHz Band (Oct. 17, 2012), https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-12-130A1.pdf.

[13][d]: While the regulatory history of BRS/EBS spectrum is lengthy, the FCC first took a significant step to enabling mobile broadband in the 2.5 GHz band in 2004. See FCC, Report and Order and FNPRM, Amendment of Parts 1, 21, 73, 74, and 101 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate the Provision of Fixed and Mobile Broadband Access, Educational and Other Advances Services in the 2150-2162 and 2500-2690 MHz Bands (June 10, 2004), https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-04-135A1.pdf.

C. ESTIMATED SPECTRUM REQUIREMENTS

Table 5 below presents our results from updating the FCC’s 2010 spectrum forecasts, using 2014 as the base year and projecting spectrum demand to 2019. After adjusting for cell site and spectral efficiency growth, traffic per site is projected to grow by an adjusted 343 percent,⁶¹ all of which must be absorbed by spectrum reallocated to broadband in the next five years. Our analysis estimates that demand for spectrum begins to exceed available supply in 2017 and the deficit grows to 366 MHz by 2019. The upcoming incentive auction will meet some of the demand for more licensed spectrum, but even that reallocation will leave significant demand (best case still than two-thirds of the projected deficit) for more licensed spectrum.⁶²

Importantly, these projections only cover demand through 2019. Of course, demand for wireless data is expected to continue to increase beyond that date. Beyond 2020, ‘5G’ technology may offer more technology gains, but that will take time to develop and deploy. The FCC’s prior effort in 2010 did not make explicit that its spectrum deficit calculation would need to be updated and recalculated to accommodate future growth. Given continued expected explosive growth through 2020 and beyond, and new more efficient technologies, such as 5G, on the horizon, continued re-assessment of the spectrums needs will be required.

⁶¹ This is reflected in row [10] of Table 4 below.

⁶² This spectrum deficit of 366 MHz must be met by access to spectrum licensed for high power LTE or other deployment, using long term licenses with expectation of renewal. Licensed spectrum in “hybrid” bands with lower power threshold, shorter term licenses would not be sufficient to meet these needs for several reasons. In particular, the technical requirements do not allow for high power 4G LTE deployment. Moreover, the shorter term licenses are likely not sufficient to warrant the investment in such relatively permanent infrastructure. Such hybrid bands may provide opportunities for unlicensed offload, or quasi-offload opportunities, and are part of the FCC’s broader spectrum efforts.

Table 5: Spectrum Demand Forecast

Description	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	[a]	[b]	[c]	[d]	[e]	[f]
[1] Data Growth Relative to 2014 - Cisco	100%	151%	229%	337%	481%	680%
[2] Adjustment to Cisco Projections	0%	3%	6%	9%	12%	15%
[3] Updated Data Growth Projections	100%	146%	215%	307%	423%	578%
[4] Cell Sites	298,055	309,977	322,376	335,271	348,682	362,629
[5] Absolute Growth	100%	104%	108%	112%	117%	122%
[6] CAGR	4.00%					
[7] Traffic per site - Growth	100%	141%	199%	273%	362%	475%
[8] Avg Spectral Efficiency (Mbps/MHz)	1.01	1.11	1.21	1.25	1.32	1.40
[9] Absolute Growth	100%	110%	120%	124%	131%	138%
[10] Tech-Adjusted Traffic per Site - Growth	100%	128%	166%	219%	275%	343%
[11] Spectrum req'd for data (MHz)	285	364	474	625	784	977
[12] Percent allocated for data	82%	87%	91%	94%	95%	97%
[13] Spectrum req'd for voice (MHz)	63	54	47	43	38	34
[14] Percent allocated for voice	18%	13%	9%	6%	5%	3%
[15] Spectrum - In Use (MHz)	348	418	521	668	822	1,011
[16] Spectrum - Currently Allocated (MHz)	645.5					
[17] Surplus/Deficit (MHz)	298	227	125	-23	-177	-366

Sources & Notes:

[1]: Robert Pepper, "Cisco Visual Networking Index (VNI) Forecast: Mobile Data Traffic Update, 2014-2019 (Focus on U.S.)," Cisco, February 3, 2015, at slide 21.

[2]: Assumption.

[3]: [1] x (1 - [2]).

[4][a]: CTIA, "2014 Data Survey Results: CTIA Survey Documents Dramatic U.S. Wireless Performance," June 17, 2015, at p. 5.

[4][b]-[g]: Previous Year [4] x [5].

[5][a]: Assumption.

[5][b]-[f]: [5][a] x ((1 + [6][a]) ^ (Current Year - 2014)).

[6]: Based on average cell site growth rate from 2009 to 2013. See CTIA, "CTIA Wireless Industry Survey for Year End 2014," 2015, at p. 2.

[7]: [3] ÷ [5].

[8]: Based on spectral efficiency and traffic share estimates. For spectral efficiency, see Rysavy Research, "Beyond LTE: Enabling the Mobile Broadband Explosion," August 2014, at p. 71. For traffic share, see Robert Pepper, "Cisco Visual Networking Index (VNI) Forecast: Mobile Data Traffic Update, 2014-2019 (Focus on U.S.)," Cisco, February 3, 2015, at slide 21 and Richard Clarke, "Expanding Mobile Wireless Capacity: The Challenges Presented by Technology and Economics," *Telecommunications Policy* (2013), Table 3.

[9]: [8] ÷ [8][a].

[10]: [7] ÷ [9].

[11][a]: [15] - [13].

[11][b]-[f]: [11][a] x [10].

[12]: [11] ÷ [15].

[13]: See discussion at Section III.B.4.

[14]: 1 - [12].


[15][a]: Table 4.

[15][b]-[f]: [11] + [13].

[16]: Table 4.

[17]: [14][a] - [13].

CAMBRIDGE
NEW YORK
SAN FRANCISCO
WASHINGTON
LONDON
MADRID
ROME



THE **Brattle** GROUP