

*Roy J. Wicker*  
S.F.C.

*Wicker-Cantwell Substitute (modified)*

AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ Calendar No. \_\_\_\_\_

Purpose: In the nature of a substitute.

**IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES—117th Cong., 2d Sess.**

**S. 4109**

To authorize the development of a national strategy for the research and development of distributed ledger technologies and their applications, to authorize awards to support research on distributed ledger technologies and their applications, and to authorize an applied research project on distributed ledger technologies in commerce.

Referred to the Committee on \_\_\_\_\_ and ordered to be printed

Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE intended to be proposed by Mr. WICKER (for himself and Ms. CANTWELL)

Viz:

- 1 Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the fol-
- 2 lowing:
- 3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**
- 4 This Act may be cited as the “National R & D Strat-
- 5 egy for Distributed Ledger Technology Act of 2022”.
- 6 **SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.**
- 7 In this Act:

1           (1) DIRECTOR.—Except as otherwise expressly  
2 provided, the term “Director” means the Director of  
3 the Office of Science and Technology Policy.

4           (2) DISTRIBUTED LEDGER.—The term “distrib-  
5 uted ledger” means a ledger that—

6                   (A) is shared across a set of distributed  
7 nodes, which are devices or processes, that par-  
8 ticipate in a network and store a complete or  
9 partial replica of the ledger;

10                   (B) is synchronized between the nodes;

11                   (C) has data appended to it by following  
12 the ledger’s specified consensus mechanism;

13                   (D) may be accessible to anyone (public)  
14 or restricted to a subset of participants (pri-  
15 vate); and

16                   (E) may require participants to have au-  
17 thorization to perform certain actions  
18 (permissioned) or require no authorization  
19 (permissionless).

20           (3) DISTRIBUTED LEDGER TECHNOLOGY.—The  
21 term “distributed ledger technology” means tech-  
22 nology that enables the operation and use of distrib-  
23 uted ledgers.

24           (4) INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION.—The  
25 term “institution of higher education” has the

1 meaning given the term in section 101 of the Higher  
2 Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001).

3 (5) RELEVANT CONGRESSIONAL COMMIT-  
4 TEES.—The term “relevant congressional commit-  
5 tees” means—

6 (A) the Committee on Commerce, Science,  
7 and Transportation of the Senate; and

8 (B) the Committee on Science, Space, and  
9 Technology of the House of Representatives.

10 (6) SMART CONTRACT.—The term “smart con-  
11 tract” means a computer program stored in a dis-  
12 tributed ledger system that is executed when certain  
13 predefined conditions are satisfied and wherein the  
14 outcome of any execution of the program may be re-  
15 corded on the distributed ledger.

16 **SEC. 3. NATIONAL DISTRIBUTED LEDGER TECHNOLOGY**  
17 **R&D STRATEGY.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Director, or a designee of the  
19 Director, shall, in coordination with the National Science  
20 and Technology Council, and the heads of such other rel-  
21 evant Federal agencies and entities as the Director con-  
22 siders appropriate, which may include the National Acad-  
23 emies, and in consultation with such nongovernmental en-  
24 tities as the Director considers appropriate, develop a na-  
25 tional strategy for the research and development of dis-

1 tributed ledger technologies and their applications, includ-  
2 ing applications of public and permissionless distributed  
3 ledgers. In developing the national strategy, the Director  
4 shall consider the following:

5 (1) Current efforts and coordination by Federal  
6 agencies to invest in the research and development  
7 of distributed ledger technologies and their applica-  
8 tions, including through programs like the Small  
9 Business Innovation Research program, the Small  
10 Business Technology Transfer program, and the Na-  
11 tional Science Foundation's Innovation Corps pro-  
12 grams.

13 (2)(A) The potential benefits and risks of appli-  
14 cations of distributed ledger technologies across dif-  
15 ferent industry sectors, including their potential to—

16 (i) lower transactions costs and facilitate  
17 new types of commercial transactions;

18 (ii) protect privacy and increase individ-  
19 uals' data sovereignty;

20 (iii) reduce friction to the interoperability  
21 of digital systems;

22 (iv) increase the accessibility, auditability,  
23 security, efficiency, and transparency of digital  
24 services;

1 (v) increase market competition in the pro-  
2 vision of digital services;

3 (vi) enable dynamic contracting and con-  
4 tract execution through smart contracts;

5 (vii) enable participants to collaborate in  
6 trustless and disintermediated environments;

7 (viii) enable the operations and governance  
8 of distributed organizations;

9 (ix) create new ownership models for dig-  
10 ital items; and

11 (x) increase participation of populations  
12 historically underrepresented in the technology,  
13 business, and financial sectors.

14 (B) In consideration of the potential risks of  
15 applications of distributed ledger technologies under  
16 subparagraph (A), the Director shall take into ac-  
17 count, where applicable—

18 (i) additional risks that may emerge from  
19 distributed ledger technologies, as identified in  
20 reports submitted to the President pursuant to  
21 Executive Order 14067, that may be addressed  
22 by research and development;

23 (ii) software vulnerabilities in distributed  
24 ledger technologies and smart contracts;

1 (iii) limited consumer literacy on engaging  
2 with applications of distributed ledger tech-  
3 nologies in a secure way;

4 (iv) the use of distributed ledger tech-  
5 nologies in illicit finance and their use in com-  
6 bating illicit finance;

7 (v) manipulative, deceptive, and fraudulent  
8 practices that harm consumers engaging with  
9 applications of distributed ledger technologies;

10 (vi) the implications of different consensus  
11 mechanisms for digital ledgers and governance  
12 and accountability mechanisms for applications  
13 of distributed ledger technologies, which may  
14 include decentralized networks;

15 (vii) foreign activities in the development  
16 and deployment of distributed ledger tech-  
17 nologies and their associated tools and infra-  
18 structure; and

19 (viii) environmental, sustainability, and  
20 economic impacts of the computational re-  
21 sources required for distributed ledger tech-  
22 nologies.

23 (3) Potential uses for distributed ledger tech-  
24 nologies that could improve the operations and deliv-  
25 ery of services by Federal agencies, taking into ac-

1 count the potential of digital ledger technologies  
2 to—

3 (A) improve the efficiency and effectiveness  
4 of privacy-preserving data sharing among Fed-  
5 eral agencies and with State, local, territorial,  
6 and Tribal governments;

7 (B) promote government transparency by  
8 improving data sharing with the public;

9 (C) introduce or mitigate risks that may  
10 threaten individuals' rights or broad access to  
11 Federal services;

12 (D) automate and modernize processes for  
13 assessing and ensuring regulatory compliance;  
14 and

15 (E) facilitate broad access to financial  
16 services for underserved and underbanked popu-  
17 lations.

18 (4) Ways to support public and private sector  
19 dialogue on areas of research that could enhance the  
20 efficiency, scalability, interoperability, security, and  
21 privacy of applications using distributed ledger tech-  
22 nologies.

23 (5) The need for increased coordination of the  
24 public and private sectors on the development of vol-  
25 untary standards in order to promote research and

1 development, including standards regarding security,  
2 smart contracts, cryptographic protocols, virtual  
3 routing and forwarding, interoperability, zero-knowl-  
4 edge proofs, and privacy, for distributed ledger tech-  
5 nologies and their applications.

6 (6) Applications of distributed ledger tech-  
7 nologies that could positively benefit society but that  
8 receive relatively little private sector investment.

9 (7) The United States position in global leader-  
10 ship and competitiveness across research, develop-  
11 ment, and deployment of distributed ledger tech-  
12 nologies.

13 (b) CONSULTATION.—

14 (1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the Direc-  
15 tor's duties under this section, the Director shall  
16 consult with the following:

17 (A) Private industry.

18 (B) Institutions of higher education, in-  
19 cluding minority-serving institutions.

20 (C) Nonprofit organizations, including  
21 foundations dedicated to supporting distributed  
22 ledger technologies and their applications.

23 (D) State governments.

24 (E) Such other persons as the Director  
25 considers appropriate.



1           (2) REPRESENTATION.—The Director shall en-  
2           sure consultations with the following:

3                   (A) Rural and urban stakeholders from  
4                   across the Nation.

5                   (B) Small, medium, and large businesses.

6                   (C) Subject matter experts representing  
7                   multiple industrial sectors.

8                   (D) A demographically diverse set of stake-  
9                   holders.

10          (c) COORDINATION.—In carrying out this section, the  
11          Director shall, for purposes of avoiding duplication of ac-  
12          tivities, consult, cooperate, and coordinate with the pro-  
13          grams and policies of other relevant Federal agencies, in-  
14          cluding the interagency process outlined in section 3 of  
15          Executive Order 14067 (87 Fed. Reg. 14143; relating en-  
16          suring responsible development of digital assets).

17          (d) NATIONAL STRATEGY.—Not later than 1 year  
18          after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director shall  
19          submit to the relevant congressional committees and the  
20          President a national strategy that includes the following:

21                   (1) Priorities for the research and development  
22                   of distributed ledger technologies and their applica-  
23                   tions.

24                   (2) Plans to support public and private sector  
25                   investment and partnerships in research and tech-

1 nology development for societally beneficial applica-  
2 tions of distributed ledger technologies.

3 (3) Plans to mitigate the risks of distributed  
4 ledger technologies and their applications.

5 (4) An identification of additional resources, ad-  
6 ministrative action, or legislative action rec-  
7 ommended to assist with the implementation of such  
8 strategy.

9 (e) RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FUNDING.—The  
10 Director shall, as the Director considers necessary, consult  
11 with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget  
12 and with the heads of such other elements of the Executive  
13 Office of the President as the Director considers appro-  
14 priate, to ensure that the recommendations and priorities  
15 with respect to research and development funding, as ex-  
16 pressed in the national strategy developed under this sec-  
17 tion, are incorporated in the development of annual budget  
18 requests for Federal research agencies.

19 **SEC. 4. DISTRIBUTED LEDGER TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH.**

20 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the National  
21 Science Foundation shall make awards, on a competitive  
22 basis, to institutions of higher education, including minor-  
23 ity-serving institutions, or nonprofit organizations (or con-  
24 sortia of such institutions or organizations) to support re-  
25 search, including interdisciplinary research, on distributed

1 ledger technologies, their applications, and other issues  
2 that impact or are caused by distributed ledger tech-  
3 nologies, which may include research on—

4           (1) the implications on trust, transparency, pri-  
5 vacy, accessibility, accountability, and energy con-  
6 sumption of different consensus mechanisms and  
7 hardware choices, and approaches for addressing  
8 these implications;

9           (2) approaches for improving the security, pri-  
10 vacy, resiliency, interoperability, performance, and  
11 scalability of distributed ledger technologies and  
12 their applications, which may include decentralized  
13 networks;

14           (3) approaches for identifying and addressing  
15 vulnerabilities and improving the performance and  
16 expressive power of smart contracts;

17           (4) the implications of quantum computing on  
18 applications of distributed ledger technologies, in-  
19 cluding long-term protection of sensitive information  
20 (such as medical or digital property), and techniques  
21 to address them;

22           (5) game theory, mechanism design, and eco-  
23 nomics underpinning and facilitating the operations  
24 and governance of decentralized networks enabled by  
25 distributed ledger technologies;

1           (6) the social behaviors of participants in decen-  
2           tralized networks enabled by distributed ledger tech-  
3           nologies;

4           (7) human-centric design approaches to make  
5           distributed ledger technologies and their applications  
6           more usable and accessible;

7           (8) use cases for distributed ledger technologies  
8           across various industry sectors and government, in-  
9           cluding applications pertaining to—

10                   (A) digital identity, including trusted iden-  
11                   tity and identity management;

12                   (B) digital property rights;

13                   (C) delivery of public services;

14                   (D) supply chain transparency;

15                   (E) medical information management;

16                   (F) inclusive financial services;

17                   (G) community governance;

18                   (H) charitable giving;

19                   (I) public goods funding;

20                   (J) digital credentials;

21                   (K) regulatory compliance;

22                   (L) infrastructure resilience, including  
23           against natural disasters; and

24                   (M) peer-to-peer transactions; and

1           (9) the social, behavioral, and economic implica-  
2           tions associated with the growth of applications of  
3           distributed ledger technologies, including decen-  
4           tralization in business, financial, and economic sys-  
5           tems.

6           (b) ACCELERATING INNOVATION.—The Director of  
7           the National Science Foundation shall consider continuing  
8           to support startups that are in need of funding, would de-  
9           velop in and contribute to the economy of the United  
10          States, leverage distributed ledger technologies, have the  
11          potential to positively benefit society, and have the poten-  
12          tial for commercial viability, through programs like the  
13          Small Business Innovation Research program, the Small  
14          Business Technology Transfer program, and, as appro-  
15          priate, other programs that promote broad and diverse  
16          participation.

17          (c) CONSIDERATION OF NATIONAL DISTRIBUTED  
18          LEDGER TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT  
19          STRATEGY.—In making awards under subsection (a), the  
20          Director of the National Science Foundation shall take  
21          into account the national strategy, as described in section  
22          3(d).

23          (d) FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH.—The Director of the  
24          National Science Foundation shall consider continuing to  
25          make awards supporting fundamental research in areas

1 related to distributed ledger technologies and their appli-  
2 cations, such as applied cryptography and distributed sys-  
3 tems.

4 **SEC. 5. DISTRIBUTED LEDGER TECHNOLOGY APPLIED RE-**  
5 **SEARCH PROJECT.**

6 (a) **APPLIED RESEARCH PROJECT.**—Subject to the  
7 availability of appropriations, the Director of the National  
8 Institute of Standards and Technology, may carry out an  
9 applied research project to study and demonstrate the po-  
10 tential benefits and unique capabilities of distributed ledg-  
11 er technologies.

12 (b) **ACTIVITIES.**—In carrying out the applied re-  
13 search project, the Director of the National Institute of  
14 Standards and Technology shall—

15 (1) identify potential applications of distributed  
16 ledger technologies, including those that could ben-  
17 efit activities at the Department of Commerce or at  
18 other Federal agencies, considering applications that  
19 could—

20 (A) improve the privacy and interoper-  
21 ability of digital identity and access manage-  
22 ment solutions;

23 (B) increase the integrity and transparency  
24 of supply chains through the secure and limited  
25 sharing of relevant supplier information;

1 (C) facilitate increased interoperability  
2 across healthcare information systems and con-  
3 sumer control over the movement of their med-  
4 ical data;

5 (D) facilitate broader participation in dis-  
6 tributed ledger technologies of populations his-  
7 torically underrepresented in technology, busi-  
8 ness, and financial sectors; or

9 (E) be of benefit to the public or private  
10 sectors, as determined by the Director in con-  
11 sultation with relevant stakeholders;

12 (2) solicit and provide the opportunity for pub-  
13 lic comment relevant to potential projects;

14 (3) consider, in the selection of a project,  
15 whether the project addresses a pressing need not  
16 already addressed by another organization or Fed-  
17 eral agency;

18 (4) establish plans to mitigate potential risks,  
19 including those outlined in section 3(a)(2)(B), if ap-  
20 plicable, of potential projects;

21 (5) produce an example solution leveraging dis-  
22 tributed ledger technologies for 1 of the applications  
23 identified in paragraph (1);

1           (6) hold a competitive process to select private  
2           sector partners, if they are engaged, to support the  
3           implementation of the example solution;

4           (7) consider hosting the project at the National  
5           Cybersecurity Center of Excellence; and

6           (8) ensure that cybersecurity best practices con-  
7           sistent with the Cybersecurity Framework of the Na-  
8           tional Institute of Standards and Technology are  
9           demonstrated in the project.

10          (c) BRIEFINGS TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 1 year  
11 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of  
12 the National Institute of Standards and Technology shall  
13 offer a briefing to the relevant congressional committees  
14 on the progress and current findings from the project  
15 under this section.

16          (d) PUBLIC REPORT.—Not later than 12 months  
17 after the completion of the project under this section, the  
18 Director of the National Institute of Standards and Tech-  
19 nology shall make public a report on the results and find-  
20 ings from the project.